P.O. Box 40914 Olympia, WA 98504-0914 actuary.state@leg.wa.gov

Regular Executive Committee Meeting

July 18, 2006

12:30 PM - 2:30 PM House Hearing Room C Olympia

AGENDA

12:30 PM (A) Approval of Minutes

12:35 PM (B) Direction on Day's Agenda

1:15 PM (C) September Committee Meeting

• Recommendation to PFC

\$150,000 death benefit

Service credit purchase due to injury

2:15 PM **(D) Constituent Correspondence**

2:30 PM **(E) Adjourn**

*Elaine M. Banks
TRS Retirees

Representative Barbara Bailey

Lois Clement *PERS Retirees*

Representative Steve Conway

Representative Larry Crouse

Senator Karen Fraser

*Representative Bill Fromhold, Vice-Chair

Leland A. Goeke TRS and SERS Employers

Robert Keller PERS Actives

*Sandra J. Matheson, Director Department of Retirement Systems

Corky Mattingly
PERS Employers

Doug MillerPERS Employers

Victor Moore, Director Office of Financial Management

Senator Joyce Mulliken

*Glenn Olson PERS Employers

*Senator Craig Pridemore, Chair

> **Diane Rae** TRS Actives

*J. Pat Thompson
PERS Actives

Senator Mark Schoesler

David Westberg
SERS Actives

* Executive Committee

(360) 786-6140 Fax: (360) 586-8135 TDD: 1-800-635-9993

2006 Interim Work Plan

(April 25, 2006)

May 16, 2006

WSIB update
Update on other states' pensions
Pension funding / accounting reforms

June 20, 2006

Dual membership \$150,000 death benefit Service credit purchase due to injury

July 18, 2006

Post retirement employment Plan 1 funding method Gain-sharing

August 22, 2006

No meeting

September 19, 2006

Recommendation to PFC \$150,000 death benefit Service credit purchase due to injury

October 17, 2006

Dual membership Gain-sharing Plan 1 funding method

November 21, 2006

2005 actuarial valuation report PSERS membership report Gain-sharing

December 12, 2006

2007 legislative proposals

P.O. Box 40914 Olympia, WA 98504-0914 actuary.state@leg.wa.gov

> *Elaine M. Banks TRS Retirees

Representative Barbara Bailey

Lois Clement *PERS Retirees*

Representative Steve Conway

Representative Larry Crouse

Senator Karen Fraser

*Representative Bill Fromhold, Vice-Chair

Leland A. Goeke TRS and SERS Employers

Robert Keller PERS Actives

*Sandra J. Matheson, Director Department of Retirement Systems

> Corky Mattingly PERS Employers

Doug MillerPERS Employers

Victor Moore, Director Office of Financial Management

Senator Joyce Mulliken

*Glenn Olson PERS Employers

*Senator Craig Pridemore, Chair

Diane Rae TRS Actives

*J. Pat Thompson
PERS Actives

Senator Mark Schoesler

David Westberg
SERS Actives

* Executive Committee

(360) 786-6140 Fax: (360) 586-8135 TDD: 1-800-635-9993

REGULAR EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING DRAFT MINUTES

June 20, 2006

The Select Committee on Pension Policy met in House Hearing Room C, Olympia, Washington on June 20, 2006.

Executive Committee members attending:

Senator Pridemore, Chair Sandra Matheson Elaine Banks Glenn Olson

J. Pat Thompson

Other Committee members attending:

Lois Clement Robert Keller
Representative Crouse Corky Mattingly
Senator Fraser Senator Schoesler

Senator Pridemore, Chair, called the meeting to order at 12:35 p.m.

(A) Approval of Minutes

It was moved to approve the May 16, 2006, Executive Committee Draft Minutes. Seconded.

MOTION CARRIED

(B) Direction on Day's Agenda Dual Membership

Laura Harper, Senior Research Analyst, Legal, reviewed the "Dual Membership" issue. Discussion followed.

\$150,000 Death Benefit

Darren Painter, Research Analyst, reviewed the "\$150,000 Death Benefit" issue. Discussion followed.

Service Credit Purchase Due to Injury

Bob Baker, Senior Research Analyst, reviewed the "Service Credit Purchase Due to Injury" issue. Discussion followed.

(C) July Committee Meeting

"Post-retirement Employment," "Plan 1 Funding Method" and "Gain-sharing" will be reported on at the July 18th Full Committee meeting.

(D) Constituent Correspondence

Matt Smith, State Actuary, reported on the "Constituent Correspondence."

The meeting adjourned at 2:00 p.m.

O:\SCPP\2006\6-20-06 Exec\Draft Minutes 6-20-06.wpd

Direction on Today's Agenda (July 11, 2006)

Item # Schedule Hearin			
(2)	Post retirement employment <u>None</u>		
	1.	Is this program meeting the state's needs? Are the state sector-based or are they more broad-based?	s's needs
	2.	Distinguish 2004 and 2005 SCPP recommendations.	
	3.	What are the specifics of a 2006 recommendation? Consimilar path or look at new options?	tinue along a
	4.	Grapple with issue this interim or in the future?	
(3)	Plan	1 funding method	<u>October</u>
	1.	Is the Plan 1 funding method serving its intended purp	ose?
	2.	Will it produce a reasonable and manageable schedule contributions?	of
	3.	Is the current method too backloaded?	
	4.	Should Plan 1 benefit improvements have a separate fu	nding policy?
	5.	How do you balance the need to fund unfunded prior oneed for benefit improvements?	osts with the
(4)	Gain	n-sharing	<u>October</u>
	1.	See Evaluation questions in PowerPoint.	
lulu 10	3, 2006	SCPP Executive Committee ——————————————————————————————————	Page 1 of 1

September 19th - Meeting Planner

(July 11, 2006)

FULL COMMITTEE AGENDA

- (1) Recommendation to PFC
- (2) \$150,000 death benefit
- (3) Service credit purchase due to injury
- (4) Adjourn

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AGENDA

- (A) Direction on day's agenda
- (B) October committee meeting
 - Dual membership
 - Gain-sharing
 - Plan 1 funding method
- (C) Constituent correspondence
- (D) State Actuary evaluation
- (E) Adjourn

Burkhart, Kelly

From: Eby, Wilma

Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 8:21 AMTo: debbiee@co.skagit.wa.usSubject: Rule of 90 and Gain Sharing

Dear Debbie,

Thank you for sharing your concerns with Governor Gregoire about the Rule of 90 and gain sharing for PERS Plan 3 members. I am responding on her behalf.

The Select Committee on Pension Policy (SCPP) reviews pension policy changes and forwards recommendations to the legislature for consideration. The committee is continuing to discuss the topics of Rule of 90 and gain sharing. I will forward your comments to the committee for inclusion in their discussions.

Thank you very much for sharing your thoughts. Please feel free to follow the committee's activities through the Office of the State Actuary's Web site at http://osa.leg.wa.gov/.

Sincerely,

Sandy Matheson, Director Department of Retirement Systems

cc: Governor Gregoire

Burkhart, Kelly

Subject: FW: GOV'S E-MAIL REFERRAL #654630

----Original Message-----

From: Ehrlich, Cale

Sent: Monday, June 19, 2006 9:48 AM

To: Eby, Wilma (DRS)

Subject: GOV'S E-MAIL REFERRAL #654630

REFERRAL DIRECTIONS (For Complete Directions Refer to Citizen Response Team Guidelines)

Referred To: DEPARTMENT OF RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

Action:

RESPOND AS YOU DEEM APPROPRIATE (LETTER, PHONE CALL, DIRECTOR SIGNATURE, ETC.) PLEASE RETURN THE ORIGINAL LETTER, THE REFERRAL SHEET, AND COPY OF REPLY TO CONSTITUENT SERVICES. IF YOU HAVE REPLIED BY EMAIL, PLEASE SEND US A COPY OF YOUR REPLY BY EMAIL.

Comments:

Rule of 90

Is there anyway that we can help

ensure that "the Rule of 90" gets enacted, while keeping DRS solvent?

Such as contributing more. Also, the literature we received, before moving to PERS3, stated "Gain-sharing" was a guarantee, not an option, the state may or may not choose to give. Is moving back to PERS2 and option if "gain-sharing" is repealed?

DUE BACK: 7/3/2006

Referred By: Cale Lee Ehrlich, Constituent Services

From: Ensley Debbie

July 6, 2006

Chris Evans 6546 Park Point Way Northeast Seattle, Washington 98115

Dear Chris,

Thank you for contacting the Governor about police pensions and the Seattle Post-Intelligencer story. The Governor asked that I respond to you directly.

As reported by the PI, the only state law that currently addresses pensions and criminal convictions is RCW 41.26.061, which prohibits a public employee convicted of a felony from drawing a disability pension when the disability was caused by his or her own criminal conduct. When a member meets the eligibility requirements of the pension plan, we must provide a retirement benefit. A change to the current law would be required to modify the existing eligibility criteria. The Select Committee on Pension Policy (SCPP) reviews pension policy changes and forwards recommendations to the legislature for consideration. I will forward your comments to the committee.

Again, thank you for taking the time to share your thoughts. If you have more questions or need additional information, please don't hesitate to contact Dave Nelsen, Assistant Director for Retirement Services, toll-free at 800-547-6657, extension 47304

Sincerely,

Sandra J. Matheson Director

cc: Governor Gregoire
Senator Ken Jacobsen
Representative Jim McIntire
Representative Phyllis Kenney

Burkhart, Kelly

Subject: FW: GOV'S E-MAIL REFERRAL #649861

Attachments: Chris Evans 0606.doc



Chris Evans 0606.doc (24 KB)

----Original Message-----

From: Eby, Wilma (DRS) [mailto:WilmaE@DRS.WA.GOV]

Sent: Thursday, July 06, 2006 5:01 PM

To: Burkhart, Kelly

Subject: FW: GOV'S E-MAIL REFERRAL #649861

Another response that mentions forwarding comments to the SCPP. Wilma

-----Original Message-----From: Gregg, Angela

Sent: Friday, June 23, 2006 4:15 PM

To: Eby, Wilma (DRS)

Subject: GOV'S E-MAIL REFERRAL #649861

REFERRAL DIRECTIONS (For Complete Directions Refer to Citizen Response Team Guidelines)

ream Guidelines)

Referred To: DEPARTMENT OF RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

Action:

RESPOND AS YOU DEEM APPROPRIATE (LETTER, PHONE CALL, DIRECTOR SIGNATURE, ETC.) PLEASE RETURN THE ORIGINAL LETTER, THE REFERRAL SHEET, AND COPY OF REPLY TO CONSTITUENT SERVICES. IF YOU HAVE REPLIED BY EMAIL, PLEASE SEND US A COPY OF YOUR REPLY BY EMAIL.

Comments:

Issue: Pensions

A report in today's Seattle P I says that police pensions are still being paid to officers that were convicted of crimes. These pensions should be discontinued while they are incarcerated. Will you take action to correct this misappropriation of government pension funds?

cc: Representative Jim McIntire cc: Representative Phyllis Kenney

cc: Senator Ken Jacobsen

DUE BACK: 7/7/2006

Referred By: Angela Gregg - Manager Constituent Relations

CONTACT INFORMATION (Log: 649861)

Contact Date: 5/12/2006 Contact Type: Hot Line

From: Chris Evans

6546 Park Point Way NE

Seattle, WA 98115



Superior Court Judges' Association

Michael Cooper, President (06-07)

Kittitas County Superior Court 205 W 5th Avenue, Suite 207 Ellensburg, WA 98926-2887 (509) 962-7533

FAX: (509) 933-8223

Vickie Churchill, President-Elect (06-07) Island/San Juan Counties Superior Courts PO Box 5000

Coupeville, WA 98239-5000 (360) 679-7361

FAX: (360) 679-7383

Michael Trickey, Immed. Past President (06-07)

King County Superior Court 516 Third Avenue, Room C-203 Seattle, WA 98104-2361 FAX: (206) 296-0986 (206) 296-9265

Gordon Godfrey, Secretary (06-07) Gravs Harbor County Superior Court 102 Broadway Avenue W Montesano, WA 98563-3621 FAX: (360) 249-6381 (360) 249-6363

Chris Washington, Treasurer (06-07) King County Regional Justice Center 401 4th Avenue N, Room 2D Kent. WA 98032-4429 FAX: (206) 205-2585 (206) 296-9111

Suzanne Barnett, District One Trustee (06-09) King County Superior Court 516 Third Avenue, Room C-203 Seattle, WA 98104-2361 (206) 296-9213 FAX: (206) 296-0986

Jay White, District One Trustee (04-07) King County Regional Justice Center 401 4th Avenue N, Room 2D Kent, WA 98032-4429 (206) 296-9251 FAX: (206) 205-2585

Ronald E. Culpepper, District Two Trustee (05-08) Pierce County Superior Court 930 Tacoma Avenue S. Room 534 Tacoma, WA 98402-2108 (253) 798-6640 FAX: (253) 798-7214

Alan Hancock, District Three Trustee (06-09) Island/San Juan Counties Superior Courts PO Box 5000 Coupeville, WA 98239-5000 (360) 679-7361 FAX: (360) 679-7383

Richard Brosey, District Four Trustee (06-09) Lewis County Superior Court 345 W Main, 4th Floor Chehalis, WA 98532-4802 FAX: (360) 740-2603 (360) 740-1172

Donald W. Schacht, District Five Trustee (05-08) Walla Walla County Superior Court PO Box 836 Walla Walla, WA 99362-0259 FAX: (509) 527-3214 (509) 527-3229

T. W. Small, District Six Trustee (04-07) Chelan County Superior Court PO Box 880 Wenatchee, WA 98807-0880 FAX: (509) 667-6588 (509) 667-6210

July 13, 2006

Mr. Matthew Smith State Actuary Office of the State Actuary PO Box 40914 Olympia, WA 98504-0914

Dear Mr. Smith:

Thank you for including the representatives of the judiciary who have worked on the judges' retirement legislation in your recent email letter. As President of the Superior Court Judges' Association, I am writing to amplify on your letter from the judges' perspective.

As you know, we very much appreciate all of your efforts and those of your staff in developing the judges' retirement proposal and in working with us in that process. We also appreciate working with you as well as with Assistant Director Dave Nelson of DRS and other DRS staff since the issue of the buy back surfaced after the legislative session ended.

The proposal that was approved by the Select Committee on Pension Policy contained on the second page the data and figures provided to us in the April 14, 2005 document prepared by your office (referred to as the internal working document in your recent letter). Working closely and collaboratively with you and your staff, we have no question that the preparation of the written proposal was done with the utmost effort to communicate clearly. Both before and after its approval by the Select Committee on Pension Policy, we shared the proposal with judges around the state.

After the close of the legislative session when the issue regarding the buy back arose, we sought out the advice of actuaries at Milliman in an effort to understand the issue and to seek solutions that would be fair, both to the state and to the judges. Milliman's letter identifies two additional methods that may be considered by the Director in implementing the legislation and we believe that the first method more closely approximates our understanding of

Letter to Mr. Matthew Smith July 13, 2006 Page 2 of 2

the judges' proposal as approved by the Select Committee. We believe our understanding of the intent of the legislation was shared by legislators including the Select Committee chair and bill sponsor, Representative Bill Fromhold. These methods are also consistent with the proposal language (buy back) approved by the Select Committee and are similar to many historical buy back provisions (rather than the "buy forward" method of purchasing an annuity).

While it is very unfortunate, the judge representatives working with you on the retirement legislation believe that the miscommunication or misunderstanding occurred despite mutual efforts to communicate clearly. We share Director Sandy Matheson's hope and expectation that an agreement can be reached on the method to implement the legislation and are committed to participating with her office in any way she deems appropriate to achieve that end.

I would appreciate you sharing this email letter with the members of the Select Committee on Pension Policy and of the Legislature who received your email letter. Thank you again for all your efforts and those of your staff in working on the judges' retirement benefit. We know you worked with us with the utmost good faith and professionalism.

Sincerely,

Michael Cooper

President

scja/president's correspondence/cooper/ltr to state actuary.doc

cc: Judge Leonard Costello Judge Deborah Fleck Judge Michael Heavey Judge Michael Trickey Tom Parker, Lobbyist

Phichael E. Cooxe



WASHINGTON STATE LEGISLATURE

Office of the State Actuary

July 7, 2006

TO: Select Committee on Pension Policy (SCPP) Members

Via E-Mail

FROM: Matthew M. Smith, FCA, MAAA, EA

State Actuary

Fax: 360.586.8135

TDD: 1.800.635.9993

SUBJECT: PAST SERVICE CREDIT COST WITHIN OPTIONAL PROGRAM TO

ENHANCE JUDGES' BENEFIT MULTIPLIER

One of the themes of the 2006 pension legislation was "member flexibility at member cost." As you will recall, three bills were passed that allowed members to purchase service credit with members paying the full actuarial cost: "air time" for all plans, Teachers' Retirement System Plans 2/3 out-of-state service credit, and past service credit for judges choosing to participate in an optional program for an enhanced benefit multiplier. All three of these bills required that members pay, as their cost for the relevant service credit, the actuarially equivalent value of the increase in their benefit. How that member cost is calculated has become an issue under the new law for judges.

As you are aware, the Office of the State Actuary (OSA) met with representatives of the Superior Court Judges' Association and their lobbyist during the last interim about their proposal to enhance the judges' benefit multiplier. In response to a request for a "ball park estimate" of costs, and in order to help define the preliminary proposal, our office provided a one-page handout at an OSA meeting with the judges' representatives. The handout was an internal working document that was used for discussion purposes at this meeting. This communication was very preliminary and was generated before there was any written proposal or bill draft on this issue.

I am writing in order to put the handout into context because I am concerned that it has been misused and misrepresented and it is still being cited as some kind of "mistake" in calculations by our office. At the time it was generated, the judges' proposal was not fully defined. The handout provided rough estimates regarding the increased Public Employees' Retirement System Plans 1 and 2/3 liabilities that would be generated if an option to purchase past service credit at the higher multiplier was offered as part of the proposal. These plan-wide costs were expressed in several ways: as total increases to the plans and as averages. The averages were expressed as both per-member and per-year-of-service costs. Their purpose was to estimate the total cost impact on the <u>plans</u>, using plan assumptions.

At no time were these averages ever represented by the OSA as numbers that could be used as multipliers to compute an individual's service credit costs. Yet apparently, this is how they were used by the proponents when communicating the proposal to the judges at large. When I became aware of this misuse of the original communication, I e-mailed Judge Fleck, Judge Heavey, and Department of Retirement Systems (DRS) to clarify that using "average costs per year of service" is not an appropriate method to determine the per-person costs. I explained that averages could not represent an accurate estimate for a significant portion of the covered population, and that actual costs per member vary significantly by the member's age at purchase. Apparently, however, the plan averages in the handout were used to estimate service credit costs for individual judges, resulting in discrepancies between some judges' expectations and resulting costs under the new law.

As I stated in my letter to Judge Fleck and Judge Heavey, the OSA is working with DRS to implement a method that will determine the actual cost per member according to the provisions of the law and accepted actuarial standards of practice. In the meantime, I have become acutely aware of the dangers that can arise when providing "ballpark estimates," especially when expressed as per-person averages. I also recognize that what is clear to actuaries may not often be clear to others. Therefore, I am using this experience as an opportunity to improve the clarity of our future communications and their use.

Thank you for your attention and please contact me directly, either by e-mail (smith.matt@leg.wa.gov) or phone (360-786-6140), if you have any questions or concerns about this matter.

cc: Judge Leonard Costello Judge Deborah Fleck Judge Michael Heavey Judge Michael Trickey Tom Parker, Lobbyist

State Actuary Appointment Committee:

Senator Margarita Prentice Senator Joseph Zarelli

Representative Gary Alexander Representative Helen Sommers